

Statistical Guarantee Optimization for Aol in Single-Hop and Two-Hop FCFS Systems with Periodic Arrivals

#### Jaya Prakash Champati

Postdoctoral researcher, School of EECS, KTH (Assistant Professor, IMDEA Networks Institute, Madrid)

WoNeCa 2020

|  |      | ĺ |  |
|--|------|---|--|
|  | <br> |   |  |
|  |      |   |  |





- WNCS need to support time-critical closed-loop app.
  - Example: automation, augmented reality, power grid etc.





- WNCS need to support time-critical closed-loop app.
  - Example: automation, augmented reality, power grid etc.
- Untimely actuations: random delays in wireless links





- WNCS need to support time-critical closed-loop app.
  - Example: automation, augmented reality, power grid etc.
- Untimely actuations: random delays in wireless links
- Minimizing end-to-end packet delay or maximizing throughput doesn't guarantee timely actuations





- WNCS need to support time-critical closed-loop app.
  - Example: automation, augmented reality, power grid etc.
- Untimely actuations: random delays in wireless links
- Minimizing end-to-end packet delay or maximizing throughput doesn't guarantee timely actuations
- Need for a design of the system using freshness metric

# Age of Information (Aol): Freshness Metric

**Definition [Kaul,2011]:** Time elapsed since the most recently generated packet that is received;

•  $\Delta(t) = t$ - generation time of freshest packet



Figure: Example evolution of AoI at the receiver (Controller).

- AoI = Delay, when a packet is received
- Lower Aol implies fresh samples



In FCFS queues, as sampling rate increases [Kaul et. al.'12]:

- Aol first decreases and then increases
- Delay increases







Assume control algorithm processing delay is negligible





- Assume control algorithm processing delay is negligible
- Two-hop network, sensor samples at rate R





- Assume control algorithm processing delay is negligible
- Two-hop network, sensor samples at rate R
- QoS requirement: End-to-end AoI at the actuator cannot exceed age limit d
  - Timely actuation based on fresh sample





- Assume control algorithm processing delay is negligible
- Two-hop network, sensor samples at rate R
- QoS requirement: End-to-end AoI at the actuator cannot exceed age limit d
  - Timely actuation based on fresh sample

#### Compute *R* that minimizes Aol violation probability



# Aol related work

### **Queuing Analysis**

- M/M/1, M/D/1, and D/M/1 FCFS [Kaul12]
- LCFS [Kaul12],[Najm16]
- No queue, unit capacity queue [Costa16], [Soysal18]

▶ ...

### Optimization

Average Aol -

[Yates15,Huang16,Talak'18,Soysal'19,Bacinoglu'19,Talak'19]

 Optimal sampling instants, single hop - [Sun et. al.17,Champati'20]

• ...



## Aol related work

### **Queuing Analysis**

- M/M/1, M/D/1, and D/M/1 FCFS [Kaul12]
- LCFS [Kaul12],[Najm16]
- No queue, unit capacity queue [Costa16], [Soysal18]

▶ ...

### Optimization

Average Aol -

[Yates15, Huang16, Talak'18, Soysal'19, Bacinoglu'19, Talak'19]

 Optimal sampling instants, single hop - [Sun et. al.17,Champati'20]

▶ ...

**Our work:** periodic arrivals, FCFS, tandem queuing, Aol violation probability minimization



$$A(n,R) \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow D(n,R)$$

Figure: Two-hop network model.

- *n* packet index,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  node index
- Service time for packet n at node k X<sup>n</sup><sub>k</sub> (i.i.d.)
  - Heterogeneous and generally distributed
  - Mean service rate  $\mu_k$ , and  $\mu = \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$
- Packets are served using FCFS



$$A(n,R) \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow D(n,R)$$

Figure: Two-hop network model.

- *n* packet index,  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  node index
- Service time for packet *n* at node  $k X_k^n$  (i.i.d.)
  - Heterogeneous and generally distributed
  - Mean service rate  $\mu_k$ , and  $\mu = \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}$
- Packets are served using FCFS

Given  $d \ge 0$ , minimize the AoI *violation probability*.

$$\mathcal{P}: \min_{R} \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Delta(t,R) > d).$$



- We characterize Aol violation probability
  - In the feasibility rate region  $\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} \equiv \{D(\hat{n}_R) > t\}$



- We characterize Aol violation probability
  - In the feasibility rate region  $\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} \equiv \{D(\hat{n}_R) > t\}$
- $\mathbb{P}$ { $D(\hat{n}_R) > t$ } is intractable
  - Use union bound Upper Bound Minimization Problem (UBMP)



# **Rest of the Talk**

- We characterize Aol violation probability
  - In the feasibility rate region  $\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} \equiv \{D(\hat{n}_R) > t\}$
- $\mathbb{P}$ { $D(\hat{n}_R) > t$ } is intractable
  - Use union bound Upper Bound Minimization Problem (UBMP)
- UBMP is computationally intensive
  - $\alpha$ -relaxed upper bound  $\alpha$ -UBMP sol.
  - Chernoff upper bound Chernoff-UBMP sol.



# **Rest of the Talk**

- We characterize Aol violation probability
  - In the feasibility rate region  $\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} \equiv \{D(\hat{n}_R) > t\}$
- $\mathbb{P}$ { $D(\hat{n}_R) > t$ } is intractable
  - Use union bound Upper Bound Minimization Problem (UBMP)
- UBMP is computationally intensive
  - $\alpha$ -relaxed upper bound  $\alpha$ -UBMP sol.
  - Chernoff upper bound Chernoff-UBMP sol.
- Numerical evaluation: different service-time distributions
  - Properties of the upper bounds
  - Compare performance of Chernoff-UBMP and α-relaxed UBMP solutions with optimal rate solutions (simulated)



# **Characterizing Aol Distribution**

### Theorem

Aol violation probability for a single-source single-receiver system under FCFS network is characterized as follows:

- 1. If  $R \geq \frac{1}{d}$ ,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\{D(\hat{n}_R) > t\}$
- 2. Else if  $R < \frac{1}{d}$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\limsup_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} = 1, \\ &\liminf_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\{\Delta(t, R) > d\} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\{D(\hat{n}_R) > t\}, \end{split}$$

 $\hat{n}_R$  denotes the index of the first arrival on or immediately after time t – d.

•  $R \ge \frac{1}{d}$  is a necessary condition for existence of Aol violation probability



An equivalent problem to P

$$ilde{\mathcal{P}}: \quad \min_{rac{1}{d} \leq R < \mu} \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(D(\hat{n}_R) > t)$$

- $R < \mu$  ensures queue stability
- Max-plus algebra: input-output relation at link k

$$D_k(n) = \max_{0 \le n \le n} \{A_k(n - v, R) + \sum_{i=0}^n X_k^{n-i}\}$$

- Exact expression for  $\mathbb{P}(D(\hat{n}_R) > t)$  is intractable
  - ►  $D(\hat{n}_R)$  maximum of  $\hat{n}_R + 1$  correlated random variables



**Lemma:** Given *d*, an upper bound for Aol violation probability is given by

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(D(\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}})>t)\leq \lim_{\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}}\to\infty}\sum_{\nu_0=0}^{\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}}}\sum_{\nu_1=0}^{\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}}-\nu_0}\Phi(\nu_0,\nu_1,R),$$

$$\Phi(v_0, v_1, R) \triangleq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{v_0} X_2^i + \sum_{i=0}^{v_1} X_1^i > d + \frac{v_0 + v_1 - 1}{R}\right\}.$$

•  $\Phi(v_0, v_1, R)$  - distribution of sum of independent rvs



**Lemma:** Given *d*, an upper bound for AoI violation probability is given by

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(D(\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}})>t)\leq \lim_{\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}}\to\infty}\sum_{v_0=0}^{\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}}}\sum_{v_1=0}^{\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}}-v_0}\Phi(v_0,v_1,R),$$

$$\Phi(v_0, v_1, R) \triangleq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{v_0} X_2^i + \sum_{i=0}^{v_1} X_1^i > d + \frac{v_0 + v_1 - 1}{R}\right\}.$$

•  $\Phi(v_0, v_1, R)$  - distribution of sum of independent rvs

**UBMP:** Minimize upper bound for  $R \in [\frac{1}{d}, \mu)$ 

However, UBMP requires computation of infinite terms



**Theorem:** For any  $K \ge 1$ , the  $\alpha$ -relaxed upper bounded is given by  $\alpha(K) \cdot \sum_{v_0=0}^{K-1} \sum_{v_1=0}^{K-1} \Phi(v_0, v_1, R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(K) &= 1 + \frac{\min_{s \in S} \Psi(s, d, R, K)}{\sum_{v_0 = 0}^{K-1} \sum_{v_1 = 0}^{K-1} \Phi(v_0, v_1, R)}, \\ \Psi(s, d, R, K) &= e^{-s(d - \frac{1}{R})} M_1(s) M_2(s) \frac{(\beta_1^K(s) + \beta_2^K(s) - \beta_1^K(s)\beta_2^K(s))}{(1 - \beta_1(s))(1 - \beta_2(s))}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_k(s) \triangleq \frac{M_k(s)}{e^{s/R}}$ , and  $M_k(s)$  is MGF of  $X_k^n$ .



**Theorem:** For any  $K \ge 1$ , the  $\alpha$ -relaxed upper bounded is given by  $\alpha(K) \cdot \sum_{v_0=0}^{K-1} \sum_{v_1=0}^{K-1} \Phi(v_0, v_1, R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(K) &= 1 + \frac{\min_{s \in S} \Psi(s, d, R, K)}{\sum_{v_0 = 0}^{K-1} \sum_{v_1 = 0}^{K-1} \Phi(v_0, v_1, R)}, \\ \Psi(s, d, R, K) &= e^{-s(d - \frac{1}{R})} M_1(s) M_2(s) \frac{(\beta_1^K(s) + \beta_2^K(s) - \beta_1^K(s)\beta_2^K(s))}{(1 - \beta_1(s))(1 - \beta_2(s))}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_k(s) \triangleq \frac{M_k(s)}{e^{s/R}}$ , and  $M_k(s)$  is MGF of  $X_k^n$ .

 $\alpha$ -UBMP: Minimize  $\alpha$ -relaxed upper bound for  $R \in [\frac{1}{d}, \mu)$ .

•  $\alpha$ -UBMP sol.: use exhaustive search on  $[\frac{1}{d}, \mu)$ 



The Chernoff upper bound is given by,

$$egin{aligned} & \lim_{t o\infty} \mathbb{P}\{D(\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}})>t\}\leq \min_{s\in\mathcal{S}} \Psi_2(s,d,R), \ & \Psi_2(s,d,R)=rac{e^{-s(d-rac{1}{R})}M_1(s)M_2(s)}{(1-eta_1(s))(1-eta_2(s))} \end{aligned}$$

- The bound is loose compared to α-relaxed UB
- $\Psi_2(s, d, R)$  is convex with respect to s and  $\frac{1}{R}$ .



The Chernoff upper bound is given by,

$$egin{aligned} & \lim_{t o\infty} \mathbb{P}\{D(\hat{n}_{\mathsf{R}})>t\}\leq \min_{s\in\mathcal{S}}\Psi_2(s,d,R), \ & \Psi_2(s,d,R)=rac{e^{-s(d-rac{1}{R})}M_1(s)M_2(s)}{(1-eta_1(s))(1-eta_2(s))} \end{aligned}$$

- The bound is loose compared to α-relaxed UB
- $\Psi_2(s, d, R)$  is convex with respect to s and  $\frac{1}{R}$ .

**Chenoff-UBMP:** Minimize Chernoff upper bound for  $R \in [\frac{1}{d}, \mu)$ .

• Chernoff-UBMP sol.: use bisection search on  $\left[\frac{1}{d}, \mu\right)$ 

# **Numerical Evaluation**





Figure: Exponential service at both links with service rate 1.

Minimum for the upper bound and Aol violation probability occur near optimal R.





Figure: Exponential service at both links with service rate 1.

Key: α-relaxed UB is almost linearly proportional to Aol violation probability



# **Quality of UBMP Solutions**



Figure: Exponential service at both links with service rate 1.



# Hyper-exponential Service



Figure: Service time PDF -  $p\lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} + (1-p)\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x}$ , p = 0.91,  $\lambda_1 = 0.95$ , and  $\lambda_2 = 2$ .



# Hyper-exponential Service



Figure: Service time PDF -  $p\lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} + (1-p)\lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 x}$ , p = 0.91,  $\lambda_1 = 0.95$ , and  $\lambda_2 = 2$ .

 Similar trends for Geometric and Erlang service-time distributions.



# Summary

- Aol violation probability is relevant metric for time-critical applications
- Modeled WNCS as a two-hop network and characterized the Aol violation probability
- Proposed α-UBMP and Chernoff-UBMP to solve for minimizing Aol violation probability
- Demonstrated the efficacy of the heuristic solution for different service-time distributions



# Summary

- Aol violation probability is relevant metric for time-critical applications
- Modeled WNCS as a two-hop network and characterized the Aol violation probability
- Proposed α-UBMP and Chernoff-UBMP to solve for minimizing Aol violation probability
- Demonstrated the efficacy of the heuristic solution for different service-time distributions
- Future Work: Study different queuing disciplines
  - Non-preemptive and pre-emptive LCFS
  - ► No queue, unit capacity queue with/without replacement



# Summary

- Aol violation probability is relevant metric for time-critical applications
- Modeled WNCS as a two-hop network and characterized the Aol violation probability
- Proposed α-UBMP and Chernoff-UBMP to solve for minimizing Aol violation probability
- Demonstrated the efficacy of the heuristic solution for different service-time distributions

### Future Work: Study different queuing disciplines

- Non-preemptive and pre-emptive LCFS
- ► No queue, unit capacity queue with/without replacement

J. P. Champati, H. Al-Zubaidy and J. Gross, "Statistical Guarantee Optimization for Aol in Single-Hop and Two-Hop FCFS Systems with Periodic Arrivals," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, Sep. 2020.